

**BEFORE THE HIMACHAL PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY  
COMMISSION, SHIMLA -171009**

**TENTATIVE CAUSE LIST FOR 28<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2018 AT 11:30 AM (SATURDAY)**

Sr. No.	Case No.	Name of parties	Brief Subject	Advocate/ Representative of the party (Mr./Mrs.)	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Petition No. 29/2018	<b>M/s Karan Polypac Pvt. Ltd. ....Petitioner</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The HPSEBL &amp; Anr. ....Respondents</b>	Petition u/s 142 & 146 of the EA, 2003 read with regulation of HPERC (Recovery of Expenditure for Supply of Electricity) Regulations, 2012 (419/2005) and clarification in this regard issued by the Commission in petition No. 315/2005 and also directed to the respondent Board to withdraw the notice dated 10.04.2017 and 01.05.2018. Further petitioner has also requested to take suitable action u/s 142 & 146 of the Act, 2003.	P C Dewan, Advocate  Surinder Saklani Standing Counsel	<b>For admission hearing</b>
2.	Petition No. 30/2018	<b>M/s Karan Synthetic (P) Ltd. ....Petitioner</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The HPSEBL &amp; Anr. ....Respondents</b>	Petition u/s 142 & 146 of the EA, 2003 read with regulation of HPERC (Recovery of Expenditure for Supply of Electricity) Regulations, 2012 (419/2005) and clarification in this regard issued by the Commission in petition No. 315/2005 and also directed to the respondent Board to withdraw the notice dated 10.04.2017 and 01.05.2018. Further petitioner has also requested to take suitable action u/s 142 & 146 of the Act, 2003.	P C Dewan, Advocate  Surinder Saklani Standing Counsel	<b>For admission hearing</b>
3.	Petition 25/2018	<b>The HPSEBL ....Petitioner</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>M/s Auro Spinning Mills &amp; Others ....Respondents</b>	In pursuant to the APTEL Order dated 23.04.2018, HPSEBL has filed petition u/s 94 & 91 of the Act, for in the line with carrying cost Rs. 133.80 Cr. & Rs. 201.81 Cr. against total amount of Rs. 683.3 Cr. on a/c of arrear of 5 <sup>th</sup> pay Commission.	Surinder Saklani Standing Counsel  Representative of respondents	<b>For hearing</b>
4.	Petition 23/2018	<b>The HPSEBL ....Petitioner</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>M/s Ascent Hydro Projects Ltd ....Respondent</b>	Petition u/s 62 (1) (a) u/s 86 (1) (a) (b) 94 and other applicable provisions of the Act, seeking revision in tariff applicable for supply of electricity by the petitioner under the PPA dated 25.10.2007	Surinder Saklani Standing Counsel  Ajay Vaidya, Advocate a/w A.S.V.A.Rao.	<b>For hearing</b>
5.	Pet. No. 18/2018	<b>M/s Puri Oil Mills Ltd. ....Petitioner</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The HPSEBL ....Respondent</b>	Petition for the adjudication of dispute u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Act, 2003 read with clause 13.2 (a) PPA orders dated 30.08.2007 signed between the Respondent and Petitioner for dispute regarding claim of deemed generation as per Clause 6.4 of the PPA.	Diwan Singh Negi/ Devi Singh Verma, Advocates.  Surinder Saklani Standing Counsel	<b>For hearing</b>
6.	Pet. No. 52/2017	<b>M/s Sahu Hydro Power (P) Ltd. ....Petitioner</b> <b>Versus.</b> <b>The HPPTCL &amp; Anr. ....Respondents</b>	Petition u/s 86 (1) (f) and 158 of the Act, 2003 for adjudication of disputes between M/s Sahu Hydro Power Pvt. Ltd. and HPPTCL & Anr. in r/o Kurtha SHEP (5MW) situated at Distt. Chamba, H.P.	L.S. Mehta/ Virender Sharma, Advocates  I.P. Singh, Consultant (legal) a/w Sh. R.K. Dhiman, DGM (C&M)	<b>For hearing</b>
7	Pet. No. 74/2017	<b>M/s Patikari Power Pvt. Ltd. ....Petitioner</b> <b>Versus.</b> <b>The HPSEBL ...Respondent</b>	Pet. u/s 62 & 86 of the EA, 2003 for determination/ Revision/ Review of Tariff for Sale of Power from Patikari 16 MW Hydro Power located in Bakhli Khad Distt. Mandi to HPSEBL.	Tarun Johri & Anand K. Ganesan Advocates  Surinder Saklani Standing Counsel	<b>For hearing</b>

Secretary