

Corrigenda to the Tariff Order 2005-06 issued on 29 June 2005

(i). **Issued Vide reference number HPERC/D(TE)/472/MS/2005-1357 dated 13 July 2005**

I have been directed to inform you that the following corrigendum to Part-II "SCHEDULE OF TARIFF" of Annexure 2 "Notification" of the tariff order issued on 29-6-05, maybe circulated to all concerned:

1. In the Schedule LARGE INDUSTRIAL POWER SUPPLY (LS) the words (HT) and (EHT) in parenthesis mentioned under column **Standard Supply Voltage** against Sr. No. 2 i.e. **Character of Service**, be deleted

(ii). **Issued Vide Order 26 July 2005**

In exercise of the inherent powers vested in the Himachal Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (hereinafter called "the Commission"), the Commission hereby makes the following corrections of clerical mistakes arising out of accidental slip or omission, in the Tariff Order of 2005-06 issued on 29 June 2005:

- (i). In the table (under paragraph 10.5.13 of Section 10 being "directions-Cum-Orders) indicating time bound plan for converting all the existing manned sub-stations into unmanned sub-stations-

- (a). the words and figures "66kV" appearing in the heading of Column 5 be corrected as "132kV".

- (b). the words and figures "66kV" appearing in the heading of Column 6 be corrected as "220kV".

- (ii). In Annexure 2, the last paragraph of Clause N of Part – I be corrected as follows:

"In case the consumers, without an installed meter capable of recording energy during different times of the day, are found violating the peak load hour restriction, one half of the consumption for the month shall be billed at the PLVC rate, which shall be three times the normal energy charge (Rs./KWH)."

- (iii). At page 171, below Table 6.17A: 'Power Purchase and Power Purchase Cost from CGS for FY 2005-06', the following explanatory note be inserted for Rihand II:

"Note: - The Project is likely to be commissioned in the second quarter of FY 2005-06 and the tariff of the Project is yet to be approved. In absence of component-wise approved tariff, the Commission has considered the average rate of power purchase for Rihand II equivalent to that of Rihand I."

- (iv). At page 172, in Table 6.17B of 'Power Purchase and Power Purchase Cost from CGS for FY 2005-06', the "Total Cost (Rs./kWh)" in respect of the following stations be corrected as follows:

Anta 2.00; Aurya 2.27; Dadri-Gas 2.49; and Singrauli 1.29.

- (v). At page 201, Table 6.35: Unbundled Revenue Requirement (Proposed / Approved) be replaced with the following Table:

Table 6.35: Unbundled Revenue Requirement (Proposed/Approved) (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Generation		Transmission		Distribution		Total	
	Proposed	Approved	Proposed	Approved	Proposed	Approved	Proposed	Approved
Repair & Maintenance	8.40	5.98	18.21	21.03	13.87	12.87	40.47	39.87
Employee Cost	75.38	55.82	40.76	47.35	342.39	318.46	458.53	421.65
Admn & Gen Expenses	1.80	1.05	1.20	1.26	38.25	30.85	41.25	33.17
Depreciation	21.78	13.20	12.48	11.84	21.86	16.62	56.12	41.68
Interest and finance	123.00	67.80	59.04	53.12	108.19	76.95	294.87	197.87
Less : Expense to be Capitalised	52.10	52.43	26.21	26.38	116.52	117.26	194.83	196.07
Sub – Total **	178.26	91.24	105.48	108.04	408.04	338.29	696.41	538.23
Gross Assets at the beginning of the year	893.91	-	509.11	-	841.73	-	-	-
Net Fixed Assets at the beginning of the year	627.33	-	331.35	-	547.49	-	-	-
Return on the Net Fixed Assets*	18.82	16.99	9.94	7.38	16.42	17.28	45.18	41.66
Less								
Reduction in generation exp. due to GoHP share	-	4.70	-	-	-	-	-	4.70
Reduction in generation exp. due to failure to submit separate gen tariff petitions	-	2.70	-	-	-	-	-	2.70
Total costs **	197.08	100.83	115.42	115.42	424.47	355.57	741.59	572.49

Note: * - The Commission has approved RoE and has not approved RoNFA as sought by the Board

** - Minor variation on account of rounding off.

- (vi). In Section 7: Cost of Supply (COS) at different Voltage levels, the following corrections be made:
- In the Schematic Chart, depicting the COS approach, the figure against 'Generation and PPC' be read as Rs. 1.65/unit in place of Rs.1.72/unit
 - In paragraph 7.6.2, the figure Rs.826.7 crores be read as Rs.792.6 crores.

- (c). In paragraph 7.6.3, the figure Rs.92.3 crores be read as Rs.120.42 crores
- (d). In Table 7.3: Segregated sales, losses and cost at different voltage levels in Column 4
- against item 'Generation and PPC', read figure 792.58 in place of 826.7
 - against item 'Transmission system', read figure 120.42 in place of 92.3
 - against item 'Distribution system-11KV and above (HT)', read figure 128.57 in place of 126.4
 - against item 'Distribution system-below 11KV (LT)', read figure 222 in place of 218.2
 - against item 'Distribution system-Total', read figure 350.57 in place of 345.0
- (e). In Table 7.4: 'Generation and PPC', in Column 3
- against item 'Generation and PPC', read figure 792.58 in place of 827
 - against item 'Cost of Generation and PPC', read figure 1.65 in place of 1.72
- (f). In Table 7.5: Cost of Supply for EHT consumers (66KV and above), against item 'System cost that needs to be proportionately allocated (Rs. Crore)', read figure 120.42 in place of 92
- (g). In Table 7.6: Cost of Supply for HT consumers (11KV and above-till 66 KV), against item 'System cost that needs to be proportionately allocated (Rs. Crore)', read figure 128.57 in place of 126
- (h). In Table 7.7: Cost of Supply for HT consumers (Below 11KV), against item 'System cost that needs to be proportionately allocated (Rs. Crore)', read figure 222 in place of 218
- (i). In Table 7.8: COS at different voltage levels (FY 2004-05), against item 'System cost that needs to be allocated (Rs. Crore)', read figures 120.42, 128.57 and 222 in place of 92, 126 and 218 in Column 1,2 and 3 respectively.

Shimla.
Dated: July 26, 2005.

(S S Gupta)
Chairman