

The HPPTCL Versus the HPSEBL

**IA No. 84 of 2023
in
Filing No. 83 of 2023**

25.04.2023

Present: Sh. Tapan Kumar, Tariff Consultant for Petitioner with
Sh. Virender Kumar DGM.

DAILY ORDER

Office report seen. This is a Review Petition filed by the Petitioner i.e. the HPPTCL under Section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act 2003 read with Regulation 63 of the HPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2005 for reviewing the Order dated 28.09.2022 passed by the Commission in Petition No. 30 of 2022 alongwith the application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act 1963 for condonation of delay.

It is seen from the office report that the Petitioner has not deposited the requisite fee as per the 13th amendment in the HPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2005. Hence, the Petitioner is directed to deposit the deficient Court fee of Rs. 1,05,000/- (Rs. 1,00,000/- for the Review Petition and Rs. 5,000/- for application) within 15 days.

The application for condonation of delay be registered.

Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorised Representative appearing for the HPSEBL waives notice on behalf of Respondent and seeks two weeks time for filing reply to the application for condonation of delay. Time allowed as prayed. Let reply be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite party and the rejoinder, if any, shall be filed well before the next date on receipt of copy of the reply.

The record reveals that the Petitioner has not impleaded the stakeholders as necessary parties in this Petition, who were parties in the main Petition No. 30 of 2022. Hence, the Petitioner is directed to implead the necessary

stakeholders as necessary parties in the Review Petition. Amended memo be filed within a week.

List this matter on 15.05.2023 at 11.00 AM for consideration on application of condonation of delay.

-Sd-

-Sd-

-Sd-

(Shashi Kant Joshi) (Yashwant Singh Chogal) (Devendra Kumar Sharma)
Member Member (Law) Chairman

HPERC