

## **The HPPTCL Versus the HPSEBL**

**Filing No. 246 of 2024**

**22.11.2024**

Present: Sh. Harsh Mohan, Authorized Representative alongwith Sh. Virender Kumar, DGM for the Petitioner.  
Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorised Representative for the Respondent.

### **DAILY ORDER**

Sh. Harsh Mohan, Authorized Representative though states that the Petition is to be treated as Miscellaneous Petition as the relief claimed in the Petition pertains to the recovery of transmission charges for the period from COD to 31.03.2020 in respect of 33/220 kV, 50/63 MVA GIS Karian Sub-Station (Asset-1) along with carrying cost as there has been a considerable delay in recovery of said charges.

However, a perusal of the Petition shows that the Petitioner contemplate to file a review Petition before the Ld. CERC and in the event of not accepting the review, the Petitioner intends to recover the balance transmission charges. The Petitioner has also claimed the carrying cost on the arrears. Therefore, a dispute has been raised by the Petitioner by way of the present Petition which is required to adjudicated upon by the Commission in exercise of powers under Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003. Thus, the court fee is required to be filed accordingly. Let the court fee of the Petition under Section 86 (1) (f) be filed. Since, the part fee has already been deposited, the balance fee be deposited within 15 days.

No reply filed. Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorised Representative for the Respondent seeks more time for filing reply. Allowed per prayer. Let reply be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite party. Rejoinder, if any, be also filed within a week on the receipt of the reply.

List on 16.12.2024 at 11.00 AM for consideration.

-Sd-

(Shashi Kant Joshi)  
Member

-Sd-

(Yashwant Singh Chogal)  
Member (Law)

-Sd-

(Devendra Kumar Sharma)  
Chairman