

The HPPTCL Versus the HPSEBL.

Filing No.311 of 2025

29.08.2025

Present: Sh. Harsh Mohan, Tariff Consultant for the Petitioner.
Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorized Representative for the Respondent.

DAILY ORDER

Heard on application for condonation of delay. It is mentioned that the order impugned was received on 29.01.2025 and thereafter approval of the competent authority was obtained on 28.02.2025. On receipt of approval of competent authority, a significant time was consumed in preparation of the Petition as detailed analysis of various complex issues took a significant time. Further, several documents were required to be arranged regarding funding of loans, etc. and hence, a delay of 98 days has occasioned which is not intentional.

The application has been resisted by filing reply that the Petitioner has failed to demonstrate sufficient cause explaining the delay and vague statements regarding administrative exigencies or internal clearances have been made which do not satisfy the strict requirement of explaining the delay. In the circumstances, the application be dismissed.

We have carefully gone through the averments made in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit. We are satisfied that a significant time was consumed in analyzing the issues and collecting relevant documents and data. Therefore, the delay of 98 days as mentioned do not appear to be intentional. In the circumstances, it would in the interest of justice and the parties that the delay is condoned and Review Petition is admitted. Hence, delay of 98 days is ordered to be condoned subject to costs of Rs. 2,500/-.

The application disposed off. Be tagged to the Petition for record.

Review Petition be registered, if not already registered.

Let reply to the Review Petition be filed within 2 days with advance copy to the Petitioner.

List on 04.09.2025 at 11:00 AM for completion of proceedings.

**-Sd-
(Shashi Kant Joshi)
Member**

**-Sd-
(Yashwant Singh Chogal)
Member (Law)-cum-Chairman**