

M/s Maa Naina Power Project Versus The HPSEBL

Filing No. 372 of 2025

12.08.2025

Present: Sh. Ashok Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the
Petitioner.

DAILY ORDER

Office report seen. Though the Petition/ Application has been filed by invoking the provisions of Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 but the Petitioner has deposited only a fee of Rs. 25,000/- only. The Petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 11.04.2024 passed in Petition No. 12 of 2024 and has virtually sought review of the order dated 11.04.2024 and applicability of tariff as per order dated 04.11.2023 in Suo Moto Petition No. 6 of 2023. Therefore, this Petition is ordered to be treated as a Review Petition and be registered as a Review Petition.

Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorized Representative appearing on behalf of the HPSEBL waives notice on behalf of the Respondent and submits that no reply is intended to be filed by the Respondent as the Commission has already considered such matters in the past..

Heard. Order reserved.

-sd-
(Shashi Kant Joshi)
Member

-sd-
(Yashwant Singh Chogal)
Member (Law)-cum-Chairman