

The HPPTCL Versus the HPSEBL

Filing No. 389 of 2025

12.08.2025

Present: Sh. Sanjiv Singh, Tariff Consultant with Sh. Ashish Rana,
Law Officer for the Petitioner.

DAILY ORDER

This application/Petition has been filed for granting in principal approval for the 12 (Twelve) Transmission Schemes as mentioned in the Petition not included in the previous approved Business Plan and MYT Petition pursuant to the meeting held on 19.06.2025 in the Commission and also meeting dated 21.06.2025 held with the State Govt. Though an additional fee of Rs.2,10,000/- has been filed for treating the Petition under section 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003, but a careful perusal of the Petition shows that there is no dispute as envisaged under section 86(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003. In the circumstance the Petition cannot be treated as a dispute under Section 86(1)(f) of the Act. Hence, the additional fee of Rs. 2,10,000/- is ordered to be refunded to the HPPTCL. However, in case, in future it transpires that there is a dispute, the appropriate order for depositing appropriate fee shall be passed.

An application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC has also been filed for deletion of name of Respondents No.2 to 18. This application be registered as CMA.

Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authroized Representative appearing on behalf of the Respondent No.1 waives notice and seeks short time for reply to the application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC. Let reply be filed within three days with advance copy to the Petitioner. List on 19.08.2025 at 11.00 AM.

-sd-
(Shashi Kant Joshi)
Member

-sd-
(Yashwant Singh Chogal)
Member (Law)-cum-Chairman