

The HPPTCL versus the HPSEBL and Another

MA No. 100 of 2025

13.10.2025

Present: Sh. Harsh Mohan, Tariff Consultant for the Petitioner.
Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorized Representative for the
Respondent No. 1.
Sh. Shanti Swroop, Legal Consultant for the Respondent
No. 2.

DAILY ORDER

Though the Respondent No.1 in its reply has assessed the cost of the asset as Rs.118.30 crore but has not given the detail of the costing of the asset as to how this cost of Rs.118.30 crore has been arrived. In absence of the same, the approval as prayed cannot be granted.

The Respondent No.1 is accordingly directed to submit the detailed calculation of costing of the 400 kV single circuit transmission line on double circuit towers from PGCIL 400/220 kV sub-station at Reru (Nalagarh to 400/220 kV Sub-station of HPSEBL at Kunihar) within 7 days and the petition be listed on 24.10.2025

sd/-
(Shashi Kant Joshi)
Member

sd/-
(Yashwant Singh Chogal)
Member (Law)-cum-Chairman