

**M/s Dera Hydro Power Pvt. Ltd. Versus M/s Himachal
Hydel Project Pvt. Ltd. & others**

Filing No. 535 / 2025

30.12.2025

Present: Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner.
Sh. Anil Kapoor vice Sh. Nimesh Gupta, Ld. Counsel
for the Respondent No. 1.
Sh. Ashish, Law Officer for the Respondent No. 2.
Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorised Representative for
the Respondent No. 3.
None for the Respondent No. 4.
Sh. Shanti Swaroop, Legal Consultant for the
Respondent No. 5.

DAILY ORDER

None appeared on behalf of the Respondent No. 4.
Hence, Respondent No. 4 is proceeded against as ex-parte.

The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 states that no
reply is to be filed to the application of condonation of delay.

Heard. It is mentioned in the application for
condonation of delay that due to unfortunate accident, the
Counsel representing the petitioner was advised rest, as such,
a delay of ten days has occasioned which is neither deliberate
nor intentional. The application is duly supported by an
affidavit. Keeping in view, the averments made in the
application, the Commission is satisfied that the delay is not
intentional and deliberate. Hence, the application for
condoning the delay is allowed and delay of ten days is
condoned. The application for condonation of delay is
disposed off. Be tagged to the file.

Review Petition admitted, be registered.

Replies of the Respondents No. 3 and 5 to the Review Petition have already come on record. Rejoinder, if any, within two weeks.

The Ld. Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 and authorised representative for the Respondent No. 2 seek time for reply to the Review Petition. Allowed per prayer. Let reply by the Respondents No. 1 and 2 be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite parties. Rejoinder, if any, shall also be filed within two weeks on receipt of the copies of reply.

List on 27.02.2026 at 11:00 AM for hearing.

**-sd-
(Shashi Kant Joshi)
Member**

**-sd-
(Yashwant Singh Chogal)
Member (Law)-cum-Chairman**