



HIMACHAL PRADESH ELECTRICITY OMBUDSMAN
SHARMA SADAN, BEHIND KEONTHAL COMPLEX, SHIMLA-171002
 Phone: 0177-2624525, email: ombudsmanelectricity.2014@gmail.com

In the matter of:

Complaint No. (552018, 652018) – 04/2026

M/s Raj Industries, Village Belideyore, Baddi Road, Nalagarh, Distt. Solan (H.P.) – 174101.

– Complainant

Vs

1. The Executive Director (Personnel), HPSEBL, Vidut Bhawan, Shimla-4.
2. The Sr. Executive Engineer, Elect. System Divn., HPSEBL, Nalagarh, Distt. Solan (HP)-174101.
3. The Sr. Executive Engineer, Electrical Division, HPSEBL, Nalagarh, Distt. Solan-174101(H.P).
4. The Assistant Executive Engineer, Electrical Sub-Division No.II, HPSEBL, Nalagarh Distt. Solan-174101(H.P)

- Respondent

Date: 28/04/2026

Present for:

The Complainant: - Sh. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate
 - Sh. Ishika Chauhan, Advocate
 - Sh. Rashpal Singh Garcha, Managar

The Respondents: - Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Under Secy. Law
 - Sh. Rajesh Kashyap, Advocate
 - Er. Robin Kr. Bansal, Sr.E.E., Elect. System Divn., Nalagarh.
 - Er. Charan Dass, Assistant Engineer, Elect.System Divn., Nalagarh.
 - Er. Mukesh Sharma, Assistant Engineer, ESD No.II, Nalagarh
 - Sh. Rakesh Kumar, Sr. Asstt., ESD No.II, Nalagarh.

Order (Last Heard-28.04.2026)

Case called, the matter was heard. The Id. Counsel for Complainant gave briefing of the said case and apprised the Court regarding salient features of the instant case. Id. Counsel for Complainant also added input to the preliminary discussion held on the key issues. The Sr. Executive Engineer, Electrical System Division, Nalagarh as well as Assistant Engineer, Electrical Sub-Divn., No.II, Nalagarh appeared as Respondents, appreciably participated in discussion to the brim.

After acquiring details of the case with due opportunity to both the parties, it was asserted by the Id. Counsel for Respondent that no additional documents are required to be submitted thereafter and added that the documents already submitted are sufficient which may be considered for ultimate findings by this authority. Similarly, the Id. Counsel for Complainant informed the Court that the contentions of the Complainant are confining only to the extent of review in consonance with the judgement dated 18.11.2025 of Hon'ble High Court with directions to decide the case afresh after hearing both the parties.

After listening to both the parties and their views on no further submission of any documents thereafter, Court arrived at the consensus that no further adjudication is required and the order shall be passed based upon the earlier pleadings/submissions on record. Accordingly, the matter was kept reserved for examining the earlier proceedings/submissions on record before issuance of final order thereof.

The order is also placed at site as well as conveyed telephonically for the convenience of concerned.

Given under my hand and seal of this office.



Electricity Ombudsman

No. HPEO/(Case No.(552018, 652018) – 04/2026- 36-40

Dated: 28.04.2026