

The HPPTCL Versus the HPSEBL

Review Petition No.59 of 2026

22.06.2026

Present: Sh. Vikas Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner.
Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorized Representative for
the Respondent.

DAILY ORDER

This Petition has been filed under Section 94 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking review of order dated 17.07.2025 passed in Petition No. 146 of 2024.

An application for condoning the delay of 216 days has been filed that the Commission vide order dated 17.07.2025 had approved the Petition for approval Capital Cost and Determination of Tariff for 33/132/220 kV, 2x100 MVA GIS Sub-station at Dehan in Distt. Kangra (Asset-1) and 220 kV D/C Dehan-Hamirpur Transmission Line (Asset-2), which was received by the Petitioner on 21.07.2025 vide HPERC letter dated 18.07.2025. It is averred that the Petitioner being a State Government Undertaking could not file the Petition in time due to internal administrative reasons. Further, a significant time was consumed in examining and analyzing the Petition and collecting supporting documents, data verification and preparation of detailed justification which had to be

arranged from different wings/ offices of the Petitioner. It is averred that the delay was unavoidable. The application is supported by an affidavit.

In reply, the contents of the application have been denied that the Petitioner has failed to offer any satisfactory explanation for condoning the delay and has not been able to establish any genuine or unavoidable circumstances, as such, explanation is not satisfactory and application be dismissed. The reply too is supported by an affidavit.

We have heard Sh. Vikas Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner and Sh. Kamlesh Saklani, Authorised Representative for the HPSEBL and have perused the record. It is apparent from the reply that soon after receiving copy of reply, the Petitioner took all necessary steps to avoid delay but since the Commission had passed the order in exercise of the powers under Section 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003, only a petition under the provision of Electricity Act, 2003 was tenable. In the circumstances, the explanation given for condonation of delay appears to be bonafide. The application is, therefore, considered and allowed and the delay of 246 days is ordered to be condoned subject to costs of

Rs. 10,000/-. The application is disposed off, be tagged to the file for record.

The Review Petition be registered.

Let reply to the Review Petition be filed within two weeks with advance copy to the opposite party. Rejoinder, if any, be also filed within a week on receipt of copy of reply.

List on 22.07.2026 at 11:00 AM for completion of proceedings.

-Sd-

(Shashi Kant Joshi)
Member

-Sd-

(Yashwant Singh Chogal)
Member (Law)-cum-Chairman